

REMARKS

This Application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Advisory Action mailed March 1, 2005. In order to advance prosecution of this Application, Claims 1, 4, 5, 9, and 14 have been amended. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and favorable action in this Application.

Claims 1-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Independent Claims 1, 9, and 14 have been amended to address matters raised by the Examiner. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 1-20 are in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph.

Claims 1-8 and 14-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Austin, et al. in view of Davis III, et al. Independent Claims 1 and 14 recite in general an ability to place an I/O wrapper around the render job and any files accompanying the render job to permit access to the files only upon processing of the render job. By contrast, neither the Austin, et al. nor Davis III, et al. patents have any disclosure with respect to placing an I/O wrapper around the render job as provided by the claimed invention. The Examiner indicates that the network service module of the Austin, et al. patent is a computer but cites no language from the Austin, et al. patent that its network service module can place an I/O wrapper around a render job and its accompanying files let alone any capability for those files to be accessed only upon processing of the render job as provided in the claimed invention. Therefore, Claims 1-8 and 14-20 are patentably distinct from the proposed Austin, et al. - Davis III, et al. combination.

Applicant notes with appreciation the allowablity of Claims 9-13 if amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Claim 9 has been amended as

shown above to be in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 9-13 are in condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

Applicant has now made an earnest attempt to place this Application in condition for allowance. For the foregoing reasons, and for other reasons clearly apparent, Applicant respectfully requests full allowance of all pending claims.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees required or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 02-0384 of BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

Respectfully submitted,
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

Attorneys for Applicants

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Charles S. Fish', is written over a horizontal line.

Charles S. Fish

Reg. No. 35,870

September 23, 2005

CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS:

2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 600

Dallas, TX 75201-2980

(214) 953-6507

Customer Number: 05073